Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



Results of Tests of Commercial Legume Inoculants in 1944

By Lewis T. Leonard, bacteriologist, Division of Soil and Fertilizer Investigations, Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Research Administration

CONTENTS

	Page
Commercial inoculants tested	1
Method of testing	2
Inoculation of seeds and results	5

COMMERCIAL LEGUME INOCULANTS are being tested by the United States Department of Agriculture in accordance with authority originally granted in 1916 and continued annually in the appropriation acts ¹ since that time, providing for soil microbiology investigations—

. . . including the testing of samples, procured in the open market, of cultures for inoculating legumes, [other crops, or soil,] and if any such samples are found to be impure, nonviable, or misbranded, the results of the tests may be published, together with the names of the manufacturers and of the persons by whom the cultures were offered for sale, . . .

COMMERCIAL INOCULANTS TESTED

The present report covers the period July 1, 1943, to June 30, 1944, during which a total of 668 samples bearing 38 different trade names were collected in 33 of the States. These samples are the products of 10 manufacturers, a list of whom, together with the trade names used, is given in table 1.

¹ United States Congress. An act making appropriations for the department of agriculture for the fiscal year ending june 30th, 1917, and for other purposes. 64th Cong., 1st sess., Public 190 [H. R. 12717], p. 8. 1916. (Material in brackets is found in the appropriation act of 1944.)

Table 1.—List of trade names and manufacturers of inoculants for legumes

Trade name ¹	Carrier	Manufacturer	Address
Cal-Rhiz 2	Paste (?)	Nelson Laboratories	Stockton, Calif.
Farmogerm	Agar	Earp-Thomas Laboratories,	Bloomfield, N. J.
Humogerm	Peat	Inc.	
Hansen's Humus Inoculator	do)	
Co-op Humus Inoculator	do		
Eastern States Legume Inoculant	do	Hansen Inoculator Co	Urbana, Ill.
Hansen's Inoculator			,
Kelly's Humus Inoculator	Peat	/	
Legume-Aid	do)	
G. L. F. Legume Inoculant	do	Agricultural Laboratories,	Columbus, Ohio.
Sacco Legume Inoculant	do	Inc.	
Unico Legume Inoculant	do	}	
Legumogen	do	The Legumogen Laboratories, Inc.	Delphi, Ind.
Nitragin	do	The Nitragin Co., Inc	Milwaukee, Wis.
Nod-O-Gen Pretested Inoculator	do	-)	
Cofer's Hitest Inoculator	do	_	
Gold Medal Inoculator		_	
Hoffman's Inoculator	do	-	
May-Bell Inoculator	do	The Albert Dickinson Co	Chi III
M Inoculator	do	- The Ameri Dickinson Co	Chicago, Ili.
Ohio Farmers Seed Inoculation			
Simplex 2 in 1 Inoculator	do	-	
Trinity Brand Inoculator		-	
Wood's Seed Inoculation		_ /.	
Superyield Culture	Agar	Strasburger & Siegel	Baltimore, Md.
Uni-Culture No. 2	Peat	-	
American Tubercle Germ	do	-	
Berry's Superior Nodule Germ			
Blue Ribbon Inoculation			
Blue Seal Grow Crop Inoculant	do	- 1	
Corneli Keystone Inoculation			
Farm Master Nitrogen-Fixing Inoculant.	do	Kalo Inoculant Co	Quincy, Ill.
Kalo Inoculation	do		
Perfection Brand Legume Inoculant.	do	-	
Southern States Quality Inocula- tion.	do	-	
Uni-Culture No. 3	do	_	
Urbana Culture		1	TT 1 T11
Urbana Humus Inoculator	Peat	The Urbana Laboratories	Urbana, Ill.

¹ Indented trade names indicate material that originated in the same laboratory as that of the unindented name above, but some inoculants are distributed by firms other than the manufacturer.

METHOD OF TESTING

Inspection at present is a greenhouse operation, the methods and materials of which are fully described in United States Department of Agriculture Circular 703.² Briefly, the testing of inoculants consists of (1) applying inoculants to small quantities of disinfected seed of the kinds for which they are intended, approximately at the rate given in the directions on the package; (2) planting these seeds in disinfected sand; (3) growing them in the presence of necessary nutrients, with

² Samples were out of date when used.

 $^{^2}$ Leonard, L. T. method of testing legume bacteria cultures and results of tests of commercial inoculants in 1943. U. S. Dept. Agr. Cir. 703, 8 pp. 1944.

the exception of nitrogen, under especially sanitary greenhouse conditions; and (4) observing the effect of the inoculating material by comparing plants from treated and from untreated seed. The main effects of satisfactory response of inoculants are evidenced first by the production of nodules, which indicates the presence of the correct species of nodule bacteria in the sample; and second by the production of good normal green growth, which indicates the ability of the organisms in the sample to supply nitrogen to the plant (fig. 1).



Figure 1.—Cowpeas in foreground show nitrogen deficiency—leaves light yellow, growth retarded because of lack of nodule bacteria in the soil. In the background are plants associated with their proper legume bacteria—plants larger, more vigorous, and with dark-green foliage.

Table 2.—Summary of results of greenhouse tests on samples of tegume bacteria cultures of commercial origin in the fiscal year 1944

[S=satisfactory, U=unsatisfactory]

Source	ω.	14	126	117	185	16	140	34	677
	U	13	· · ·	13	•	0	=	0	14
-	w	1001	2×8×5	2 4 11 86 71 71	22228	16 2 2 2 4	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	213	677
Total	ū	20000	00000	00500	00000	000000	0000000	0000	4
el- ous	w	0 0	100	27		x			98
Miseel- laneous	n	88	00	000)	0			4
- d	ಯ	44-6	15	127	0000	16	0 3 7	1 00 4	86
Veteh	D	0000	0	1000	000	00	0 0 0	00	6
sı	ω		4	30		m c	7		12
Beans	n		0	120		0			12
sur	∞	8008-	-054e	12122	1212222	m 610	400401 400	4 2 - 6	138
Soybeans	D	08-00	00000	00-00	00000	0 00	000 00		10
	σ ₀	l 60 60	121	101 4 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.01	N M M	4 1 2	19
Lespedeza	n	00	000	000	0000	00 00	0 0	0 00	0
	ω			0 20 0	18888	60 610	N I I I I I I	4 1	47
Cowpeas	n		0	000		0 0		0 0	0
Clover	02	1282	20 % 4 °C	2 4 8 8		7 m m m		20044	122
	b	0 0 0 5 1	0000	0 000		0000	0000	0000	œ
	00	2310	10000	21242	01000010	2 2 2 2 2 2		w w 4 4	133
Alfalfa	ь	21-00	0000	00000	00000	0000	0000	0000	8
Trade name		Farmogerm Hansen's Humus Incentator Hassen's Humus Incentator Co-op Humus Incentator	Hansen's Inoculator Kelly's Humus Inoculator G. L., F. Legume Inoculate Sacco Legume Inoculate	Curico Legume Inoculant Curico Legume Inoculant Nitragin Nod-O-Gen Pretested Inoculator Cofor's Hitset Inoculator	Gold Medal Incentitors Hoffman's Incentitor May-Bell Incentator M Incentator Ohio Fermers Seed Incentation	Suppex 2 in Incentator Trinity Brand Incentator Wood's Seed Incentation Supervield Culture Uni-Culture No. 2 American Tuberele Germ		Southern States Quality Inoculation Southern States Quality Inoculation UrCulture No. 3 Urbana Fulture Urbana Humus Inoculator	Total

INOCULATION OF SEEDS AND RESULTS

Commercial legume inoculants are commonly prepared for the purpose of treating seeds of a group of legumes related from the standpoint of their nodule bacteria. Also preparations are made that combine the nodule bacteria of two or more groups of legumes in one package. Such samples are tested in accordance with the groups they contain, and each group is reported as a separate sample in table 2. This makes it appear that more samples were tested than collected. Groups are designated in the table usually by the name of the principal legume, and such grouping is shown below with other members of the groups.

G

Group designation:	Legumes utilized in testing samples
Alfalfa	Alfalfa, bur-clover, and sweetclover.
Clover	Alsike, crimson, red, and white clover.
Cowpea	Cowpea, crotalaria, kudzu, lima bean, mung
	bean, peanut, and velvetbean.
Soybean	Biloxi, Laredo, Tokyo, and Virginia field
	soybean; and Giant Green, Sousei, and
	P. I. No. 81044 edible soybean.
Bean	Top Notch Golden Wax, Plentiful, and
	Michelite bean.
Vetch	Alaska, Austrian Winter, and sweet peas and
	hairy vetch.
Miscellaneous	Garden bean, garden pea, lima bean, edible
	soybean in garden combinations and alyce
	clover, birdsfoot trefoil, blue and yellow
	lupine, and sesbania.

Ordinarily the maximum useful period indicated on samples of commercial inoculating material does not exceed 1 year, and because of definite seasonal demands most packages of inoculants are kept only a short time by the merchandisers. Occasionally samples are found upon which no dates of expiration are shown. These may be kept a long time by the seller, and the purchaser has no means of determining whether or not they are fresh.

In the retail distribution of products of this type through mills, seed, hardware, and other stores it is to be expected that an occasional package will be found defective. This may be caused by exposure to excessive heat or by drying in long-continued storage, possibly occasioned by a lack of expiration date. When a large number of samples from the same producer are found unsatisfactory, however, the fault may lie in the methods of his laboratory.

A case of this sort seems indicated with the materials bearing the trade names Farmogerm and Humogerm, originating in the Earp-Thomas Laboratories, Inc. Last year, as reported in Circular 703, these inoculants were 61 percent unsatisfactory, while in the present year, as shown in table 2, they are 48 percent unsatisfactory. These figures do not compare favorably with the general average, which in

the previous year was 9.4 percent and in the present year is 5.7 percent defective samples.

Major deficiencies in samples of inoculants as indicated in table 2 have been promptly brought, by correspondence, to the attention of the producers concerned, and the responses show a favorable interest in correcting the conditions found.



